

June 2016

DRAC Issue Paper Comments

Michael Baker, SBW Consulting

I think that DR should be handled by expanding the scope of the RTF. Many if not all of the end user DR strategies will involve modification to the operation of end use devices that are current or potential targets for energy efficiency measures. Completely separate and largely redundant investigations of these end uses would at best lead to confusion when the underlying technical work is compared and at worst could lead to contradictory conclusions about delivery strategies and opportunities. In addition, the region should look for all opportunities for integrated development of these two resources, which is not served by creating a separate forum.

Clearly DR would be a large addition to the scope of the RTF. Additional staff resources would be needed to properly support a standing DR subcommittee. It would also be necessary to consider DR expertise as an important criteria in selecting RTF members, but I think the RTF membership is sufficiently large to accommodate this additional criteria.

Michael Baker

VICE PRESIDENT
SBW CONSULTING, INC.