Richard Devlin Chair Oregon

Ted Ferrioli Oregon

Guy Norman Washington

Patrick Oshie Washington



Bo Downen Vice Chair Montana

Jennifer Anders Montana

> Jim Yost Idaho

Jeffery C. Allen Idaho

March 10, 2020

MEMORANDUM

- TO: Power Committee Members
- FROM: Tina Jayaweera, John Ollis

SUBJECT: Draft Demand Response Supply Curves for 2021 Plan

BACKGROUND:

- Presenter: Tina Jayaweera, John Ollis
- Summary: As part of development of the 2021 Plan, staff is developing demand response (DR) supply curves that provide levelized cost (dollar per kilowatt-year) and savings (megawatts) for each DR product. These will be used as an input for the Regional Portfolio Model for doing scenario analysis.

In developing the DR supply curves, staff has been working closely with the demand response advisory committee for estimates of costs and impact from about 20 DR products. The products can be classified into three groups: residential direct load control, non-residential direct load control, and price-based DR.

Staff presented draft results to the demand response advisory committee (DRAC) on February 20, 2020. In general, advisory committee members were comfortable with the results, recognizing the numbers reflect decisions made by the DRAC over the past year, such as including a portion of the incentive in the levelized cost. There were two decisions made at this meeting that changed the results relative to what was presented. Namely, (1) program life for controllable products was reduced from 20 years to 10 years, and (2) both transmission and distribution

deferral are now included, rather than just transmission deferral. The draft results for the Power Committee reflect these changes. Note, the inputs are still draft and will change before finalized on March 31 based on stakeholder review and an updated load forecast.

One other discussion area at the 2/20/20 DRAC meeting was around demand voltage reduction (DVR) and the interplay with conservation voltage reduction (CVR). In both cases, the utility invests in their distribution system that allows for a voltage reduction on the line to lessen resistive losses while keeping within prescribed limits. For CVR, this reduction is permanent, but for DVR, the voltage is only reduced during peak periods. The investment to do DVR is basically the same as CVR, and since CVR provides more energy savings and comparable capacity savings, it is the more economically efficient option. Thus, Council staff proposed to the DRAC that we do not include DVR in the DR supply curves to avoid double counting with CVR. However, DRAC participants from Bonneville were not in agreement with that proposal. Two other DRAC participants provided feedback: Washington UTC staff noted that all cost-effective conservation, including CVR, should be acquired through the Energy Independence Act (I-937) and a participant from Clark PUD indicated that they plan to do CVR. However, Bonneville noted most of its utility customers have been much more likely to adopt DVR than CVR, largely because both provide the benefit of reduced demand charges, while DVR is also able to mitigate negative revenue impacts. Thus, they believe the 2021 Plan should reflect this difference in historical adoption. After further discussion with Bonneville about their concerns after the DRAC, staff modified its proposal to reduce the maximum 20-year achievable potential of CVR from 100% to 85% and have the remaining customer base be applicable for DVR. Bonneville would prefer even less CVR; however, staff is concerned about excluding likely cost-effective efficiency from the 2021 Plan. Bonneville will be providing a letter that outlines their concerns for Power Committee review.

Staff will present our proposal and resulting feedback for Power Committee discussion. Bonneville staff will be providing a letter for Council members outlining their concerns.

- Relevance: Development of inputs for the 2021 Power Plan
- Workplan: Power Division A.3: Develop the 2021 Power Plan: Demand Response
- More Info: In May 2019, staff presented the process primer on DR: https://www.nwcouncil.org/sites/default/files/2019_0507_p5.pdf

In August 2017, Council and Bonneville staff presented on the Seventh Plan Action Item MCS-2 – Distribution System Efficiency that was intended to increase adoption of CVR: <u>https://www.nwcouncil.org/sites/default/files/2017_0815_p2.pdf</u>







Pro	oducts Con	isidered - Residential	
Summer Only	Winter Only	Dual Season	
AC Switch	Heating Switch	Bring-Your-Own-Thermostat	
		Water heater (heat pump and electric resistance) - switch	
		Water heater (heat pump and electric resistance) - grid-connected	
		Critical Peak Pricing	
		Time-of-Use	
Blac Blue	k text indicates contro text indicates price-b	bllable DR based DR	
		THE 2021 NORTH	

	Products Co	onsidered –	Non-Residential	
	Summer Only	Winter Only	Dual Season	
	Irrigation Control (Large & Small)	Heating Switch (Small & Medium Com)	BYOT (Small Com)	
	AC Switch (Small & Medium Com)		Demand Curtailment (industrial & commercial)	
			Demand Voltage Regulation	
			Critical Peak Pricing	
			Real Time Pricing	
4	Black text indicat	es controllable DR es price-based DR		
				NORTHWES
4		5		POWER PLA























Background: What is CVR/DVR Distribution system equipment settings and/or upgrades can save energy by <u>reducing line voltage</u> and balancing line loading while still maintaining adequate power quality Allowed Typical Utility Voltage Utility Range with CVR 126 120 Energy savings come from reduced losses and lower consumption from 114 some (but not all) devices • Capacity savings come from these reductions during peak periods CVR: Reducing the voltage every hour DVR: Reducing the voltage only during select hours THE 2021 NORTHWEST POWER PLAN 16



