Naegeli Reporting

"The Deposition Experts"

Videography

Court Reporting

Document Management

Videoconferencing

Serving all of Washington, Oregon, Idaho and the Nation

Selected "Best Court Reporting Firm"

Trial Presentation

NaegeliReporting.com

(800) 528-3335

NORTHWEST POWER AND CONSERVATION COUNCIL



PUBLIC MEETING SEPTEMBER 15, 2009

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	NORTHWEST POWER AND CONSERVATION COUNCIL:
4	TOM KARIER, Chair, Washington
5	W. BILL BOOTH, Chair, Idaho
6	JOAN M. DUKES, Chair, Oregon
7	JOHN FAZIO, Senior System Analyst
8	
9	AUDIENCE SPEAKERS:
10	DAN PETERSON, Pend Oreille Public Utility District
11	and Public Power Council
12	LARRY LA BOLLE, Avista
13	KIM DRURY, Northwest Energy Coalition
14	KEITH MILLIGAN, Sierra Club
15	SAM MACE, Washington Trout Unlimited
16	ASIA HEGE, Gonzaga Student
17	JOHN OSBORN, Sierra Club
18	JERRY WHITE, Spokane Falls Trout Unlimited
19	KRIS MIKKELSEN, Inland Power
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



	Public Meeting September 15, 2009 NRC File # 10033-13 Page
1	PUBLIC MEETING
2	SEPTEMBER 15, 2009
3	5:32 PM
4	
5	MR. KARIER: Hello, everyone. I am Tom Karier. I
6	am also a member of the Northwest Power and Conservation
7	Council. And we'll be having public comments, as is stated
8	in our advertisements, on the Sixth Power Plan.
9	And I'd like to introduce the other people at the
10	table here. We have two other council members, Joan Dukes
11	from Oregon, welcome, and Bill Booth from Idaho, who is also
12	chair of the council. And Bill will start out with a
13	description what we're doing.
14	MR. BOOTH: Thanks, Tom. And I'd just like to
15	echo the thanks for the participation tonight.
16	I do have an opening statement that I need to read
17	regarding this public hearing on the draft power plan.
18	Welcome to a public hearing held by the Northwest
19	Power and Conservation Council on the Council's proposed
20	Sixth Northwest Power Plan.
21	The Northwest Power Act directs the Council to
22	develop a regional conservation and electric power plan and
23	to review that plan every five years.
24	The Council is now engaged in its latest five-

year power plan review.

25

As part of this effort, the Council

released a draft revised power plan on September 3rd for public review and comment. The Council will be taking written comment on the draft power plan until November 6. The Council will also hold public hearings like this one on the draft plan in all four northwest states over the next six weeks.

If you would like to comment at this hearing, please sign in on the sheet that was provided for that purpose. You may also leave written comments with us this evening if you desire. Your comments will be recorded, placed in the Council's administrative record for the power plan review, and most importantly considered carefully by the Council as it makes its decisions on the final power plan later this year.

For more information on the proposed Sixth Power Plan, including the text of the draft plan, please visit the Council's Web site at www.nwcouncil.org. You may submit comments by using the "How to Comment" link on the Web page devoted to the draft power plan. Thank you.

MR. KARIER: Thanks, Bill. And we're going to move pretty quickly to the comments, but before we do that, we thought we'd give you a brief outline of what is in the power plan. As Bill mentioned, it is posted on our Web site, but we've brought in John Fazio from our Portland office, who is going to just describe it with a brief

PowerPoint. So, John.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FAZIO: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Karier.

You can go to the next slide. Thanks.

Since about two years ago, the Council has directed its staff to meet with advisory committees, utility, utilities and other interested parties, in the region and outside of the region, to put together the data and the information required to develop its Sixth Plan.

During that process, we assessed forecasting methodology for electricity demand. We have also looked at existing and new types of resources to meet new demand, and we have taken all of that information, and we have run that information through analytical models, and we've looked at many, many different potential future conditions, and, in the end, we have come up with a strategy, a resource strategy that is low cost, relative to other plans, and it also minimizes the risk of high price fluctuations from year to year.

Of course, the goal of the Sixth Plan is to provide that resource strategy to assure the region of an adequate, efficient, economic and reliable power supply, while, at the same time, supporting the implementation of a fish and wildlife program, another document that the Council produces.

What I am going to tell you in the next five

minutes or less is just a snapshot of what the power plan contains. I should emphasize that the power plan itself, all the chapters and the appendices, have a wealth of information that describes, in great detail, all of the information, all of the processes that we went through to come up with a strategy.

The Key Findings. What we discovered, in looking at all different kinds of potential futures, is that conservation ends up being a big winner. On average, it can produce — it can provide nearly 85 percent of the demand growth projected for the region. It also avoid risks of volatile fuel prices, and it avoids the potential penalties associated with carbon emissions. It contributes not only to annual energy needs, but it also provides peaking needs as well. And it can create local jobs and help the local economy.

The next slide.

Renewable Generation. Of course in three of the four northwest states, legislation has been passed that requires that some portion of a new resource be renewable, and wind seems to be the most cost competitive, renewable resource that is being used for that purpose. But in our analysis, we have also discovered that additional wind is also cost competitive with other generating technologies, and it also avoids the risks of volatile fuel prices and

potential carbon penalties.

The problem with wind, of course, is that its variable output gives the power systems challenges. And we are working with utilities and others to try to work that out. But it is cost effective.

However, conservation and wind and other renewals may not be the only resources that we may need in the region over the next 20 years.

I should emphasize that the Council is looking at this strategy from a regional point of view, and it understands that individual utilities don't look like the region, and individual utilities will have their own special needs and may or may not require different types of resources. And what the Council is doing with the plan is, it is providing a strategy, or, if you will, sort of a supply curve of resources that we feel are cost effective and that will promote low cost and low risk to the region.

It does appear that natural gas-fired generation will be needed at some point for near-term generation needs, perhaps to back up variable wind resources like wind or for other reasons, such as shortage of transmission, et cetera. Gas does carry a fuel price risk, but it has lower carbon emissions than coal.

And there are other resources -- go ahead and go to the next one. There other resources that we looked at.

We looked at every resource. We looked at tidal power, wave power, nuclear power. We looked at all kind of geothermal power and all sorts of resources, and we actually put together an assessment of their costs, their characteristics, lead time, unit size, et cetera, et cetera, and all of that information went into the decisions that the Council looked at.

The key findings for carbon risk are that, one, the fact is that about 20 percent of the region's electricity comes from coal generation, but those coal plants emit about 85 percent of the electricity systems' CO2 emissions. In order to reduce carbon emissions from that sector, the electricity sector, there really is no way except to limit the dispatch of coal generation. And that's what the conservation and the wind will do, and, to some degree, the natural gas.

And we can achieve the goals set forth by the states and also by the Western Initiative to reduce carbon emissions with the strategy that is laid out in this Sixth Power Plan.

The five-year action plan, in a snapshot, is to develop 1200 average megawatts for the region as a whole of conservation by 2014 and to assess that periodically, the progress; to develop renewable generation as required by state legislation, and to look at further development of

renewables if they are cost effective.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We also need to be careful to make sure that if we develop a lot of wind, that it can be integrated seamlessly and without causing other problems, either under generation or over generation, and looking at smart grid types of capabilities or other infrastructures can help with that.

And a lot of that work is going on right now.

And so we also -- the Council also in its plan asks for more research and demonstration of promising new technologies to improve efficiency, demand response, and of course generation also.

And that's a snapshot of the power plan.

And do we have time for questions or --

MR. KARIER: Sure. Are there any questions? Okay. Not seeing any. Thanks, John.

And I would like to introduce some of our other staff that are here, mostly so that once we are finished here, if you have questions for any of us, we'd be glad to talk to you afterwards. But we have Stacy Gordon from our office here in Spokane; Kathy McElroy who is in the back there, and, let's see, Mark Walker over here from our Portland office, and Bill Hanford. And I think that's — that covers our — the staff here.

So with that, I think we'll start off with the list, and if anybody who signed up and did not say that they

wanted to speak but changed their mind, at the end I'll open it up for anyone else that wants to talk.

So we'll start out with Dan Peterson.

Welcome, Dan. And if you want to sit up here and just state your name, and if you are representing somebody, that would be great.

MR. PETERSON: My name is Dan Peterson. I'm one of three elected, locally elected commissioners at Ponderay Public Utility District, and also a member of the executive committee of the Public Power Council.

I'm going to be very brief, just give you a broad outline of three kudos and three concerns. The Public Power Council will be obviously submitting comments with much detail than I am going to take time to give now.

Three kudos. Number one, great job generally overall on the plan. It's reasonable. It's flexible. It's thoughtful. Appreciate what you've done.

Kudo number two, that I already mentioned, is flexibility. It recognizes the importance of individual utilities having flexibility to meet what's needed in our futures. We really appreciate that.

Third kudo, great discussion of hydro. Ponderay PUD operates one of those facilities on the Ponderay River, the Box Canyon Dam project. You recognize clearly that hydro is one of those resources that does not emit carbon.

It's so important to preserve because of that. It also provides that base generation to back up renewables like wind. And we appreciate the good discussion of hydro that the plan has.

Three concerns. While we really do appreciate the range built into the conservation targets, we continue to argue that an appropriate floor for that range would be 1,000 rather than 1,100. We believe that still presents an aggressive goal, but one that more realistically incorporates some of the uncertainties that we face in the future, in regard especially to technologies for conservation.

The second concern, fish and wildlife costs we believe are greater than stated in the plan. Very particularly, the value of lost generation is -- really needs to be valued at market, not at the Bonneville's PF rate. We think some attention to accuracy on that would be helpful.

Finally, third concern, the analytics need to be really carefully reviewed just as the policy has been. And we hope that you will give, as members of the Council, careful attention to making sure those analytic appendices are properly reviewed and analyzed. It's so important because they back up what you conclude policywise. We're obviously going to be examining them closely, and we'll

1 offer more detailed comments when we understand what's 2 there. 3 Again, thank you so very much for the opportunity to comment, and look forward to a great final plan when it's 4 5 out. 6 MR. KARIER: Okay. Thank you, Dan. 7 Larry La Bolle. 8 MR, LA BOLLE: Do you want to back up? 9 MR. KARIER: Yeah, just a minute. Would you mind taking questions, Dan? 10 11 MR. PETERSON: We'll, I'm going to plead, as a 12 locally-elected official, great ignorance, but I'll field 13 some questions. 14 MR. KARIER: Member Dukes. 15 MS. DUKES: I was wondering if you could elaborate 16 on the uncertainties you mentioned as it relates to 17 conservation at the local level. 18 MR. KARIER: Dan, if you can come up. 19 MR. PETERSON: Sorry. 20 MS. DUKES: I don't mean to pin you down. 21 sounded like you knew. 22 MR. PETERSON: I studied rhetoric. 23 I was referring to technological assumptions that 24 we make over the coming years that are going to be available

I was simply referring to the fact that in some

25

or not.

cases, as we look forward to technologies developing, there is uncertainty. And so I was -- we're arguing that that thousand megawatt lower floor for that range better incorporates that uncertainty and technology.

MS. DUKES: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FAZIO: Thanks.

MR. PETERSON: Thank you.

MR. KARIER: Larry, thanks for your patience.

MR. LA BOLLE: Good evening. I'm Larry La Bolle, and I am employed by Avista here in Spokane. And I am older than Dan, so I have notes and glasses. I guess he had glasses, too.

We really appreciate the opportunity, not only to comment tonight, but to have been involved with Council staff, and even to have an opportunity to visit with members through the course of the development of this plan. And from an Avista perspective, but I think from a larger, kind of, amalgam of utilities' perspectives, it's been a great collaborative effort, and really I think is kind of getting us to the place where we want to be as we together in developing a plan that tends to merge how individual utilities see the future and have to plan for the future, and how the Council takes on its over arching objective of developing a plan from a regional perspective.

I also just immediately disqualify myself and

apologize that Clint Kalich, our resource plan manager, is not here to speak to you tonight. He's bogged down in Boise taking care of some kind of regulatory business down there. So I'll do my very best.

I want to represent comments both from a Pacific Northwest utilities conference committee perspective, as well as an Avista perspective. They will kind of be interwoven, but generally at a very high level.

Of course each organization, including Avista, is going to follow up with detailed comments on the plan within the reporting period.

So, again, I'll start off with kudos just like Dan did. We think the plan is very well developed. We think the plan does a great job of describing the Northwest power system, and more particularly in describing some of the challenges we face as we all move forward, from both a utility perspective and from a regional perspective.

We think the scenarios depicted in the plan capture an effective range of future carbon restrictions that we might see or carbon legislation that we might see.

And so it tends to band some of the future scenarios pretty effectively, as far as how power system and new resources might be developed.

We think the plan provides a realistic assessment of the kind of power costs that we're going to see in the

future. And this is really important, and it hits home here in town, as you go from rate increase to rate increase, and then you tell folks that really these are going to continue almost nonstop as we redevelop and reinvest in the power system, from both transmission and generation perspectives.

It is really helpful to have a plan that is regionally respected and we think depicts pretty effectively what future power costs are going to look like. So it helps us explain our message.

The plan presents goods availability and cost information on different types of generating resources, and particularly for resources like wind. We think the type of resource, the availability of the resource, the characteristics of the resource are pretty darn effectively captured in this plan.

It provides reasonable estimates to the amounts of prices -- oh, I already got that one.

It helps policy makers and consumers understand the need for transmission investment. All across Idaho, in particular, where I live, but up in these states as well, it is getting to be more and more difficult all the time to develop new transmission infrastructure. And so we think the plan a nice job of helping people understand why transmission investment is going to be a big part of our future.

And then finally, and this really the most important piece. If I leave one message with you, it's this one. We believe the plan does a very nice job of qualitatively, and now beginning to quantitatively describe the capacity issues that the region is facing.

And this really represents, I think, the confluence where everybody came together in the best way to talk about how capacity issues are going to be a driving need for the region graphically.

So from a Northwest utility perspective, from Avista's perspective, we think that we made great gains in working with Terry and his staff in trying to better understand collectively how capacity issues are going to be driving our needs as we go forward.

Now just for a minute about some changes that we might like to see. And this is out of the peanut comment. Maybe a better statement of the needs. Many more clarity around the statement of needs for resources going forward. How the Northwest system meets adequacy and reliability under future forecasts, it's all in there, but just maybe a condensed and a more definitive description of how we meet reliability as we move forward under different forecasts.

An approach described -- maybe I'll back up a little bit. We've talked about how we made good progress in looking at capacity needs for the Northwest, more from a

qualitative perspective. The utility perspective is that maybe we can use this as a foundation for building more quantitative, longer-term assessments of how we measure capacity and evaluate capacity needs and how we meet those needs going forward.

another way to depict power costs in the plan, in addition to the depiction that's already in there. And that is maybe to look at just power costs themselves and to index all of those power costs to a base year. So that was just one suggestion. It wouldn't supplant a method that's already used to describe costs, because rate impacts of power costs into the future are also an important element people want to see.

And we might want to depict the impacts associated with meeting levels of carbon reduction, say that the states of Oregon, Washington and Montana have signed on to. So maybe take that one scenario and then say, if this is the regional goal, what does the power system look like in light of achieving that one goal.

Now, that may be a little bit in conflict with what we know may be coming down the pipe with respect to federal legislation. And I'm not sure how Western Climate Initiative and federal legislative initiatives are going to mesh, but that might be useful for folks to see.

And finally, we like the discussion about the uncertainty related to achieving the conservation targets. We think the conservation targets are robust. Avista, as you know, is very interested and has been, I think, a great performer in implementing conservation. But we like the uncertainty discussion because there is such a huge reliance on that going forward. We think that helps people understand some of the challenges, as well as some of the certainties related to capturing conservation. And it's like Dan said, from a technical perspective and from a human behavior perspective and from the (inaudible) perspective.

Those list of interests are pretty vaguely defined. And, again, you'll see those in much more clarity of specificity when folks comment.

But we really do want to say, real clearly and very sincerely, this is a great power plan. Our staff appreciates very much having the ability to work with Terry and his staff, others in this room, as well as Council members, in trying to figure what this plan should look like.

We feel that this plan advances the interests of every utility in the region in helping to explain not only what system requirements look like from a regional perspective going forward, but what some of the issues are that individual utilities have to wrestle with, because, as

has been said, they are different.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so we want to give hat's off to the Council for what we think is a great plan. We look forward to its completion.

MR. KARIER: Okay. Any questions? Seeing none. Thanks, Larry.

MR. LA BOLLE: Thank you.

MR. KARIER: Next up is Kim Drury. Welcome, Kim.

MS. DRURY: Thank you. My name is Kim Drury, and I'm here with -- from the Northwest Energy Coalition. The Energy Coalition in an alliance with about 110 different organizations from throughout the region of environmental, civic organizations, and progressive utilities. We have worked for clean and affordable energy for almost 30 years now.

And I am here today, too, to talk about a number of kudos. And I would also like to, first of all, acknowledge my deep respect and admiration for the previous two commenters who have had time to already thoroughly review the documents. We are still combing through it. It is an amazing amount of work that it represents. And so we will be submitting our full detailed comments within the reporting period as well.

But kudos to the staff for an amazing amount of work. It's well -- it reads well. The documentation is

thorough. And it's always nice to know that we can really rely on the accuracy and the thoroughness of it. And also thank you for being here tonight, because I know there's a lot of hearings planned.

As I said, we haven't completed all of our comments. I'm going to focus on the conservation targets here in my comments tonight. And I would just like -- I think the best way to state our view of it is just to quote from the overview that the plan includes.

I think in the second paragraph of the plan overview, I'm quoting here, it says, "Across hundreds of possible futures considered in the development of the

Sixth Plan, one conclusion was constant: The most cost effective and least risky resource for the region is improved efficiency of electricity." And that's exactly what our view is, and we are very pleased to see how strongly this plan relies on energy efficiency to meet the growing demand for energy.

I would like to point out a discrepancy, however, in the PowerPoint that was presented just a few minutes ago. Because, in fact, if you look to the summary of the Sixth Plan overview from your Web site that I just got off earlier today, it doesn't say 85 percent; in fact it says, "The Plan finds enough conservation to be available and cost effective to meet the low growth of the region for the next 20 years."

We're thrilled to see that, and that's exactly what we would especially like to emphasize in how pleased we are with this plan, because of is our position. Energy efficiency has delivered enormous benefits to the region for the past years. We are saving in \$1.6 billion per year thanks to energy efficiency. And obviously it is the fastest and cheapest way to address our climate goal. So we are very pleased to see that.

A year ago we did two studies at the Northwest Energy Coalition; one we contracted with a consultant to do, one we did in house. We thought we would be in a position of having to once again argue for increased energy efficiency, to document and tell the stories, so we put together two different studies, one called the Power of Efficiency, one called Bright Future, to document how valuable energy efficiency -- how much energy efficiency there is and all the different benefits.

And so to be here tonight and to say, you guys are even ahead and what we thought was possible to think of the next ten years of low growth; and here you're saying we can meet 20 years of low growth through energy efficiency, so that's fantastic.

There's two items that we would very much like to suggest need some improvement. One are the conservation targets. The plan identifies -- it sets a target of 1,200

megawatts per year on average for the next five years, the action plan, but it says that there is cost-effective conservation available at 1,450 average megawatts. We would strongly encourage you to return to the higher target. Without doing that, we are leaving cost-effective energy conservation on the table. The analysis shows that that, over the long run, would cost a huge amount to the region in lost savings we can't afford to lose.

I think the other point is that if the 20-year target is relying on the 1,450, the higher five-year target, the best way to get there is to start by trying to achieve 1,450. If we start with a lower target and we do the mid-course correction that you're suggesting in the plan, and we find we're running short, the curve is going to be much steeper to try to catch up and meet the 20-year plan, the 20-year target.

So, it seems to make sense economically and strategically and programmatically to start with a higher target, and we would encourage you to do that.

It allows you to achieve -- to phase out the coal or dispatch coal differently, as was referred to, in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

I think the second suggestion that we would like to make -- and I think this is the strongest point that you will hear from the Coalition over the coming weeks -- is

that while you're acknowledging the need to reduce carbon, there is no specific plan for doing that. And we would like to have a path plan, chart the course for how the region is going to reduce its emissions, how is the region going to meet the climate targets that are set by the governors and the legislatures in three states and that was presented in the Western Climate Initiative. Those plans are based on science. It is already now out of date because it looks to be -- the target should even be higher.

The power plan, the Sixth Power Plan is the most comprehensive and the most influential energy plan in the region. And the fact that it doesn't have a specific path for how the region is going to meet these regional climate targets seems like a major lost opportunity.

We are not suggesting that the Council has the authority to regulate coal plants or to turn them off or to order them to be shut down. What we are suggesting is that the Power Council has the responsibility and the duty to lay out the plan for how we are going to reach the climate targets already adopted, set in policy in three states, and that we recognize is coming from the federal government in the very near future.

Thank you.

MR. KARIER: Any questions? Okay. Thanks, Kim. And Keith Milligan. Welcome, Keith.

MR. MILLIGAN: My name is Keith Milligan.

First let me thank you for this opportunity to address the Council and inviting the general public and others in the industry to make their comments today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am simply a concerned citizen, not representing anyone but my three-year-old grandson's grandchildren. And what I would like to see incorporated into the plan, if possible, after some careful consideration by you folks that are in the know and the experts in the field, is to perhaps consider reducing or actually phasing out completely coal power over the next ten years.

According to your own plan, or at least the overview -- I admit I did not read the whole plan -- but in the overview, I just, you know, got a summary of, it looks like that would be something feasible and certainly would allow my three-year-old grandson's grandchildren to breathe clean air.

So that's the only comment I have, and if you have any questions, I'm happy to answer them.

MR. KARIER: Thank you, Keith.

Sam Mace. Welcome, Sam.

MS. MACE: Hello, there. I am representing today the Washington Trout Unlimited Council, of which I am the incoming conservation vice president for 2010. And I also am the Inland Northwest Director for the Save the Wild Salmon

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Coalition as well. But my comments tonight are on behalf of the Washington Trout Unlimited.

And we appreciate the opportunity to comment on this Draft Sixth Power Plan, and we are really encouraged to see that this draft plan really focusing on the opportunities that efficiency and conservation in renewables have in terms of meeting our future energy needs. We are encouraged to see that it's not looking at new coal plants or other energy of that sort that would have significant impacts on carbon emissions, and we are encouraged by that because climate change is one of the greatest threats facing our native trout and salmon, steelhead, and other cold water fisheries.

We also echo the comments made earlier by

Northwest Energy Coalition that we would like to see a more
aggressive approach there in terms of not just stabilizing
emissions, but looking at how we can reduce carbon over the
long term and move away from coal and focus on energy
efficiency, conservation renewables and those sort of energy
sources for our energy needs.

And my comments here are quick tonight.

I would like to also focus on the obligation of the Power Council and the region to balance the needs of fish and power and their role that this plan can play in that. And we were very encouraged to see, in the analysis,

that the Council actually looked at what would be needed in terms of if the four lower state river dams were removed, how much power would need to be replaced in terms of that.

And I think the numbers were somewhere between 575 megawatts and 750 megawatts, which are really pretty close in the ballpark of the Bright Futures Report which was recently put out by the Northwest Energy Coalition, Save the Wild Salmon Coalition and Sierra Club.

And we would encourage that that analysis be included in the final plan, because it's important that this plan look at all contingencies into the future. And of course the Washington Council TU strongly supports removal of the four lower Snake River dams and has for a long time. We believe the science says that that's what our fish need in the Snake River basin to be restored. But regardless of whether you support dam removal or you don't support dam removal, it only makes common sense to look at all those options.

And we still don't have quite yet a plan in place for restoring our salmon. Of course the Obama

Administration announced one today. It still needs to be reviewed by a judge, and we are seeing increasing calls in the region from people like Senator Crapo of Idaho, Jeff Merkley in Oregon of saying, "You know what, we need to be looking at all these options and having stakeholders'

discussions."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the question of the future of those four dams remains on the table. And so we are encouraged to see that analysis in the Council's draft plan, and we'd like to see that included in the final plan in case that those dams are removed.

Anyway, those are my comments. Thanks.

MR. KARIER: Thanks, Sam.

Next Asia Hege. Welcome.

MS. HEGE: Hello. I am a Gonzaga student, but I am originally from Montana. I just want to share a few thoughts.

MR. KARIER: Would you state your name for the record.

MS. HEGE: Yes. Asia Hege.

MR. KARIER: I got it right. Thanks.

MS. HEGE: Yes. Good job.

I would like to urge the Council to adopt a Sixth Power Plan that meets the needs of wild salmon and steelhead. Under the Northwest Power Act, the Council is directed to balance the needs of fish and power.

And the Council has completed analysis that support what conservation and fishing groups have been saying for years, that we can in fact meet our region's energy needs without the four lower Snake River dams.

Fishery experts have long pointed to the removal of these four dams as a critical component for effective salmon recovery. And according to the Council's analysis, as Sam briefly touched on, only 575 to 750 megawatts of energy is required to replace what is produced by the four lower dams. We can make this up through efficiency and renewables, and I encourage the Council to include this analysis in their Sixth Plan.

I am also encouraged to see that the draft's plan is looking towards efficiency in renewable energy rather than new coal. But I also urge the Council to go further and support a plan that calls for phasing out existing dirty coal and includes a plan for meeting emissions and reductions goals. The plan has an aim to stabilize global warming emissions but not reduce them. And as a Spokane citizen and someone from the Northwest, we'd like to see this go a little bit further.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KARIER: Thank you.

Let's see. Next is John Osborn. Welcome, John.

MR. OSBORN: My name is John Osborn. I'm a physician here in Spokane at the Veterans Administration Hospital, but my comments are not those of the VA. I also chair the Sierra Club's Upper Columbia River Group.

First of all, I want to thank you all for being

here to take public comments, and to thank you for the opportunity to provide input.

As I think about the Columbia River ecosystem, it seems like we are caught, both by our history as well as our future. We are caught by a series of historic forces, one which was dam building that has profoundly influenced this - the Columbia River ecosystem, this great river of life.

It is -- and if you go back to August 12th, when Lewis and Clark first stepped into -- out of the United States and into the Columbia River watershed, and one might ask, and it was a place where people lived in dynamic equilibrium with the landscape. And in 200 short years, really the blink of an eye, profound changes have occurred to this river, and perhaps the most profound was the era of dam building.

Various dates can be arbitrarily selected for the onset of that. I prefer 1933 with the construction of the start of Bonneville and Grand Coulee, but whatever date is selected, it is clear that the era of dam building has profoundly influenced this great river of life.

The past we've inherited, the future that we are living is a period not only of population growth, as reflected in the plan, but also a degree of climate change.

You know, if you look at the work of the CIG or even you just Google climate change and glaciers and start

looking at the Columbia River watershed glaciers, typically the Columbia ice fields and look at the changes that are underway now and that will likely accelerate into the future, it's clear that we're living in a period of change and that will likely accelerate.

So, we are in this period of where we're caught both between our history and our future. It is within that context that this draft plan is brought forward.

I am an internist. I'm not an expert in energy. The things that I would like to say, and really echo some of the comments that have been made already; one is, that I applaud no new fossil fuel power plants. I think that the concern about the need to phase out coal has already been articulated. It would be helpful to have a clear articulation of that with a strategy by the end of this plan in 2020 with a strategy in place for how to phase out coal.

And I think from economic costs, which are often such a driver for natural resources policy in the Columbia River ecosystem, that we need to also make sure we have a good handle on what the costs are likely to be of carbon-based energy.

Moving on to fish. You know, this great river of life, it's great to go back and look at the images of Salivo (phonetic) and Kettle Falls and just the great richness of our salmon culture, and also rich here. The salmon were

once so rich below in the Spokane River below our house. It was, you know, it was a place of hawks (phonetic). And that's gone. But it's not gone every place in the Columbia River ecosystem.

I think that the issue of energy production coequal with fish has already been raised here tonight. I think Sam Mace with Trout Unlimited has referenced the need for the plan to account for the contingency of removing the four lower Snake River dams, and I would simply echo that; that, you know, there is, if nothing else, a certain level of uncertainty about what will happen with those dams, and that any plan for energy over in the next 20 years needs to take into account contingencies to replace that power.

And finally conservation. I think that I would like to echo the commendation of others presenting here tonight about this plan recognizing the importance of conservation. The needs to set higher targets, and in effect that, you know, more is better.

There is an effective remedy here in place. We need to use it and use more of that therapy to -- in the next ten years of managing this ecosystem in the communities that depend on the energy produced here.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KARIER: Thank you, John.

I have two more people on my list. The next is

Jerry White. Welcome.

MR. WHITE: Thank you. My name is Jerry White.

I'm a resident of Spokane, Washington. I'm employed by Save our Wild Salmon as well, but I am also conservation chair of the Spokane Falls Trout Unlimited Chapter, and as such, you know, my comments will obviously strongly echo those prior, and it may seem slightly redundant, but they certainly do represent the members of our local chapter here in Eastern Washington.

I also would like to start by thanking you for the opportunity to comment. I think this energy plan is extraordinarily important and has very important implications for the cold water fisheries in our region in the next 20 years.

Spokane Falls Trout Unlimited is a nonprofit organization. We're concerned with resident and native trout and steelhead and salmon populations in our region, and that's a primary focus of our organization. Our chapter does go clear down to southeastern Washington down to the lower Snake River, below Whitman County.

The Spokane Falls Chapter feels like that, you know, though we haven't -- we also have not digested the entire document, we do feel that the power plan is a step in the right direction in several ways.

The draft plan is seeking to stabilize global

warming by reducing carbon emissions in the region, and this is very, very important for cold water fish populations.

Earlier this year, we had Chinook salmon, adult Chinook salmon actually die due to the superheated water in the Grand Ronde River. This is increasingly correlated with global warming and is very alarming.

Many of these species are already compromised by myriad environmental insults, and so reducing global carbon emissions is extremely important. Reduced snow packs and reduced amounts of cold water is damaging these populations.

We applaud the Council for proposing that the region meet Northwest energy demands with 5,800 annual megawatts of new energy efficiency and 1,800 annual megawatts of new renewable energy. We feel that the Council should retain these in the final plan, as they are both affordable and we feel they are attainable.

Having said this, we would also like to call attention to several aspects of the plan that we feel deserve inclusion. We would like to see that the draft plan include the analysis and planning to remove the four lower Snake River dams in southeast Washington state, respectively the Ice Harbor Dam, Lower Monumental Dam, Little Goose Dam, and the Lower Granite Dam.

These four hydroelectric projects pose an imminent and grave danger to the four stocks of salmon and steelhead

that are extraordinarily vulnerable to extinction right now.

Additionally, the Power Council's analysis shows that these projects can be replaced, again, as others have noted, with between 575 and 750 annual megawatts of power. Such power is readily available, we feel, through conservation and efficiency and renewable energy. So we strongly recommend that this analysis stay in the final Power Plan so the region has contingency plans to pull these populations of fish back from the brink.

We call on the Council to go beyond stabilizing global greenhouse gas emissions and actually plan for reducing them, and that coal be replaced with energy efficiency and renewable sources of energy, such as wind and solar.

Spokane Falls Trout Unlimited also feels that given the exploding technology and gains in effective transmission, electrical transmission, the goal of saving 1,200 annual megawatts in the five-year action plan is too low. Setting higher energy conservation goals will save the region money and to actually create jobs as well. And finally, these kinds of conservation goals are going to be good for cold water fish populations.

Again, I like to thank you for the opportunity to comment on the plan, and certainly planning for a power future where cold water fisheries are maintained and

Public Meeting September 15, 2009 NRC File # 10033-13 enhanced should be an essential aspect of the finalized 1 2 power plan. 3 MR. KARIER: Thanks, Jerry. 4 Kris Mikkelsen. Welcome, Kris. 5 MS. MIKKELSEN: Hello. I'm Kris Mikkelsen. 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the CEO of Inland Power and Light Company, and I also would like to thank you for providing an opportunity right here in Spokane to comment on Council's plan.

Congratulations on publishing a very comprehensive and complex draft plan. The plan's scope and importance cannot be overstated.

Maybe just a quick background on Inland. Inland is a nonprofit electric cooperative serving approximately 38,000 members in 13 counties in Eastern Washington and Northern Idaho.

Inland's service territory is largely rural with system density of just over five customers per mile, and we are subject to Washington State's Energy Independence Act, more commonly known as Initiative 937.

The Draft Sixth Plan is a useful document for assessing many regional conservation power and supply issues. It's clear that a great deal of thought and effort has gone into the preparation of the draft plan. Council members and staff are to be complimented on your efforts.

Inland doesn't have the resources to review all

aspects of this complex plan, and of course the draft plan has only been available for a relatively brief time since its publication in early September. Nonetheless, we would like to offer a few preliminary observations and comments. We will also be working with the Public Power Council and Northwest requirement utilities on a more detailed review of the draft plan and some more additional and detailed comments.

First, the draft plan very appropriately focuses on conservation and energy efficiency as the first resource of choice. While many details are yet to be worked out, Inland views energy efficiency as the primary means to lessen the impacts of more costly generating resources.

With BPA's implementation of tier grades starting in 2012, Inland's core business model will be to develop and acquire all cost effective and reliable conservation available in its service territory.

In this manner, Inland will be able to hold down retail rate increases and provide its members with higher and improved levels of service.

In Inland's service territory, the challenges will be many, but Inland is preparing to significantly expand its energy efficiency efforts.

This last weekend, we moved, after 59 years at a location in downtown Spokane to a new LEED Gold. We hope to

be certified as LEED Gold's building out on the west plains.

As we tried to anticipate for the future space requirements within the building, we have added a significant amount of space for future expansion. Most of that space is in the conservation wing of our new building.

In addition, I should note that Inland is partnering with regional utilities and others on a smart grid grant application to the Department of Energy. We are hopeful that this effort will provide useful information and improve our understanding of how to best implement better and smarter use of electric energy and related facilities.

We commend the draft plan for recognizing that rural and/or smaller utilities will face a different set of circumstances and challenges when acquiring energy efficiency. We look forward to working with Bonneville and others on this item and of Council's action plan.

We support the approach outlined in the draft plan of conducting periodic reviews of the level of conservation being achieved by all interests. The draft plan lays out what looks like aggressive targets to the first five years, including about one quarter, as we understand it, from relatively new measures, programs or markets for conservation.

Ongoing review of what actually is and can be accomplished is very important. Some measures may simply

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not be able to deliver the assumed amount of savings in the time frame indicated in the draft plan.

We understand there is some reluctance to disaggregate the 1,100 to 1,400 average megawatt five- year target range between actions focused on utility programs, market transformation, and codes and standards.

While we acknowledge that all players have a general responsibility to support the advance of energy efficiency, we would encourage the Council to elaborate on how it sees the 1,100 to 1,400 average megawatt target being generally divided among the approaches to achieving such target.

Inland fully supports reasonable and prudent expenditures for items in the draft plan that would allow all interests to clearly understand the achievable level and long-term sustainability of energy efficiency.

As noted in the action plan, much of the Draft Sixth Plan assessment of what can be achieved is based on research and demonstration program results from the early '80s. It is critical that we develop current data based on what happens in the real world with real electric consumers over extended periods of time and circumstances.

We appreciate the Council including standard CFL savings in the first two years of the draft plan.

Inland recently completed a survey of its members'

housing stock and existing energy efficiency measures. It's clear that there is still much opportunity to capture savings via additional standard CFLs, while at the same time offering a varied set of other energy efficiency measures.

Inland greatly appreciates that the draft plan recognizes the tremendous value of the existing federal hydro system, both in terms of providing carbon-free energy and permitting the integration of variable output resources like wind. As we all look to the future, it is very important to preserve and enhance the renewable resources that we already have.

As noted in the draft plan, recent resource development has been dominated by wind and natural gas-fired plants. Inland is supportive of reasonable efforts to facilitate the development of other small scale and more diversified resources as well. This would include a whole range of project types, including biomass, geothermal, hydro efficiency improvements, and new hydroelectric projects.

Inland is supportive of prudent actions that are effective in producing increased numbers of threatened or endangered salmon and steelhead impacted by the federal hydro system.

While we have not spent much time reviewing the details of the draft plan regarding fish and wildlife actions, it is our understanding that the draft plan is

using the PF rate to value the lost generation associated with fish actions. Clearly, the market value of the lost generation is the more appropriate value indicated. When the 2010 preference rate goes into effect shortly, Inland estimates that this rate will be about 35 percent higher than it would otherwise be without fish and wildlife impacts.

Even for a relatively small or mid sized utility like Inland, our members are spending millions each year on fish and wildlife recovery.

Thank you for the opportunity to offer these preliminary comments. Inland is supportive of the Council's effort to help the region, BPA, and individual utilities with ensuring an environmentally sound, cost effective, and reliable future power system.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KARIER: Thanks, Kris.

Rosemarie Bisiar. I can't tell whether you wanted to sign up to speak. You don't. Okay.

Anyone else? We have exhausted the list. Is there anyone else here who would like to speak tonight? All right. I'm not seeing anyone.

Just a reminder of what the Council plans to do with this information. First of all, thanks to all of you for these thoughtful comments. This is very helpful. We

1	have taken this down word for word, and we will make that
2	transcript available to all the other council members and
3	staff of the Council. We will also look forward to any
4	written comments that you will submit before November 6th.
5	And the Council will deliberate and take all of this into
6	account, and we will work on using that information to draft
7	a final Sixth Power Plan, and we will hopefully complete
8	that sometime at the end of this year or the beginning of
9	next year.
10	So, again, thank you for your time, taking this
11	time on a Tuesday evening to talk to us. And I think we're
12	adjourned.
13	(Proceedings adjourned at 6:32 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Marilyn J. Broyles, do hereby certify that pursuant
4	to the Rules of Civil Procedure, the witness named
5	herein appeared before me at the time and place set
6	forth in the caption herein; that at the said time
7	and place, I reported in stenotype all testimony
8	adduced and other oral proceedings had in the
9	foregoing matter; and that the foregoing transcript
10	pages constitute a full, true and correct record of
11	such testimony adduced and oral proceeding had and
12	of the whole thereof.
13	
14	IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
15	18th day of September, 2009.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	/Signed April 21, 2010
24	Marilyn J. Broyles Commission Expiration

Public Meeting S	Septe	mber 15, 2009	NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 44
2012 37:1	15	85 7:10		18:20	added 38:3

	Public Meeting Septe	mber 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 44
\$	2012 37:15	85 7:10	18 : 20	added 38:3
\$1.6 22:5	2014 9:23	9:11	19 : 2	addition
		21:23	39:11	18:7
1	2020 31:16		acknowledg	38:6
1,000 12:8	20-year	9	е	additional
1,100 12:8	23:9	937 36:19	20:18	7:23
39:4	23:15		39:7	37:7
39:10	23:16	A	acknowledg	40:3
1,200		ability	ing 24:1	Additional
22:25	3	19:17	acquire	ly 35:2
35:18	30 20:14	able 37:18	37:16	_
	35 41:5	39:1		address
1,400 39:4	38,000	accelerate	acquiring	22:7
39:10	36:14	31:3	38:14	25:3
1,450 23:3	3rd 5:1	31:5	across	adequacy
23:10	324 3.1	accomplish	16:19	17:19
23:12	5	ed 38:25	21:11	adequate
1,800	5,800		Act 4:21	6:21
34:13	34:12	according	28:20	adjourned
110 20:11	5:32 4:3	25:12	36:18	42:12
1200 9:22		29:3	action	42:13
	575 27:4	account	9:21	Administra
12th 30:8	29:4	32:8	23:2	tion
13 36:14	35:4	32:13	35 : 18	27:21
15 4:2	59 37:24	42 : 6	38:16	29:22
1933 30:17		accuracy	39:17	administra
1999 30.17	6	12:17	actions	tive
2	6 5:3	21:2	39:5	5:11
20 8:8 9:9	6:32 42 : 13	achievable	40:19	
21:25	6th 42:4	39:15	40:25	admiration
22:21	12.1	achieve	41:2	20:18
32 : 12	7	9:17	actually	admit
33:14	750 27:5	23:11	9 : 3	25:13
200 30:12	29:4	23:20	25:10	adopt
	35:4	achieved	27 : 1	28:18
2009 4:2		38:19	34:4	adopted
2010 25:24	8	39:18	35:11	24:20
41:4	80s 39:20	achieving	35:20 38:24	adult 34:3

	Public Meeting Septe	ember 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 45
advance	11:18	39:1	38:2	38:17
39:8	16:17	amounts	anybody	approaches
advances	18:8	16:16	10:25	39:11
19:21	18:11	34:10	anyone	appropriat
advertisem	20:19	analysis	11:2	e 12:7
ents 4:8	24:8	7:23	25 : 6	41:3
	24:20 31:11	23:6	41:20	
advisory	31:11	26:25	41:21	appropriat
6:5	32:6	27:9	41:22	ely 37:9
afford	34:7	28:4	Anyway	approximat
23:8	40:11	28:22	28:7	ely
affordable		29:3		36:13
20:14	am 4:5 4:6	29:8	apologize	arbitraril
34:16	6:25	34:20	15:1	y 30:16
	11:14	35:2	appear	_
afterwards	14:10	35:7	8:18	arching
10:19	14:10	analytic	appendices	14:23
aggressive	20:16	12:22	7:3	argue 12:7
12:9	25:5		12:22	22 : 12
26:16	25:22	analytical		arguing
38:20	25:23	6:13	applaud	14:2
ago 6:4	25:24	analytics	31:12	
21:20	28:10	12:19	34:11	articulate
22:9	28:11	analyzed	applicatio	d 31:14
ahead 8:24	29:9	12:23	n 38:8	articulati
	31:9		appreciate	on 31:15
22:19	33:4	and/or	11:17	Asia
aim 29:14	amalgam	38:13	11:21	28:9
air 25:17	14:18	announced	12:3	28:15
alarming	amazing	27:21	12:5	
34:6	20:21	annual	14:13	aspect
	20:24	7:14	26:3	36:1
alliance	among	34:12	39:23	aspects
20:11	among 39:11	34:13		34:18
allow		35:4	appreciate	37:1
25:16	amount	35:18	S	assess
39:14	20:21		19:17	9:23
allows	20:24	answer 25:19	40:5	
23:20	23:7		approach	assessed
	38:4	anticipate	17 : 23	6 : 9
already			26:16	assessing

	Public Meeting Septe	mber 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 46
36:21	42:2	basin	22:5	briefly
assessment	average	27 : 15	biomass	29:4
9:4	7:9 9:22	beginning	40:17	Bright
15:24	23:1	17:4	Bisiar	22:15
39:18	23:3	42:8	41:18	27 : 6
assessment	39:4	behalf	bit	brink 35:9
s 18:3	39:10	26:1	17:24	h-n-a-d
associated	Avista	behavior	18:21	broad
	14:10		29:17	11:11
7:13	14:17	19:11		brought
18:15	15:7	believe	blink	5 : 24
41:1	15:9	12:8	30:13	31:8
assumed	19:3	12:14	bogged	building
39:1	Avista's	17:3	15:2	18:2
assumption		27 : 14	Daine 15.0	30 : 6
s 13:23	17:11	benefits	Boise 15:2	30 : 15
	avoid 7:11	22:4	Bolle 13:7	30:19
assure	avoids	22:17	13:8	38:1
6:20	7:12		14:9	38:3
attainable	7:25	best	14:9	38:5
34:16		15:4	20:7	
attention	away 26:18	17:7	Bonneville	built 12:6
12:17		21:8	30:18	business
12:22	B	23:11	38:15	15 : 3
34:18	background	38:10		37 : 15
	36:12	better	Bonneville	
August	balance	14:3	's 12:16	С
30:8	26:23	17:12	Booth 4:11	C02 9:11
authority	28:21	17:17	4:14	Canvon
24:16	ballpark	32:18	Box 11:24	Canyon 11:24
availabili	27:6	38:10		
ty 16:10		beyond	BPA 41:13	capabiliti
16:13	band 15:21	35:10	BPA's	es 10:6
	base		37 : 14	capacity
available	12:2	Bill	breathe	17 : 5
13:24	18:10	4:11	25:16	17 : 8
21:24	based 24:7	4:12		17 : 13
23:3	31:21	5:20	brief 5:22	17 : 25
35:5	39:18	5:23	5:25	18:4
37:2	39:18	10:22	11:11	18:4
37:17	39:20	billion	37:2	

Public Meeting	Septe	ember 15, 2009	NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 47

	Public Meeting Septe	ember 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 47
capture	23:15	changed	19:13	9:10
15:19	caught	11:1	Clark 30:9	9:10
40:2	30:4	changes		9:14
captured	30:5	17:15	clean	23:20
16:15	31:6	30:13	20:14	23:21
		31:2	25:17	24:16
capturing	causing		clear	25 : 10
19:9	10:4	chapter	30:19	26 : 8
carbon	CEO 36:6	33:5	31:4	26:18
7:13 8:1	certain	33:8	31:14	29:11
8:22 9:8	32:10	33:18	33:19	29:13
9:12	certainly	33:21	36:22	31:13
9:18	25:15	chapters	40:2	31:16
11:25	33:7	7 : 3	clearly	35 : 12
15:19	35:24	characteri	11:24	Coalition
15:20		stics	19:15	20:10
18:16	certaintie	9:5	39:15	20:11
24:1	s 19:9	16:14	41:2	22:10
26:10	certified	chart 24:3	climate	23:25
26:17	38:1		18:23	26:1
31:20	cetera	cheapest	22:7	26:15
34:1	8:21 9:5	22:7	24:5	27 : 7
34:8	9:5	Chinook	24:7	27 : 8
carbon-		34:3	24:13	codes 39:6
free	CFL 39:23	34:3	24:19	cold 26:12
40:7	CFLs 40:3	choice	26:11	33:13
care 15:3	chair 4:12	37:11	30:23	34:2
careful	29:24	CIG 30:24	30:25	34:10
10:2	33:4	CIG 30:24	Clint 15:1	35:22
12:22	challenges	circumstan		35 : 25
25:8	8:3	ces	close 27:5	
	15:16	38:14	closely	collaborat
carefully	19:8	39:22	12:25	ive
5:12	37:21	citizen	Club 27:8	14:19
12:20	38:14	25:5		collective
carry 8:22		29:16	Club's	ly 17:13
case 28:5	change	civic	29:24	Columbia
	26:11	20:13	co 32:5	29:24
cases 14:1	30:23		coal 8:23	30:3
catch	30:25	clarity		30 : 7
	31:4	17:17		

	Public Meeting Septe	ember 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 48
30:10	15 : 5	42 : 7	condensed	33 : 4
31:1	15:10	completed	17 : 21	35 : 6
31:2	20:22	21:5	conditions	35:19
31:18	21:6	28:22	6:14	35:21
32:3	21:7	39:25		36:21
combing	25:4		conducting	37:10
20:20	26:1	completely	38 : 18	37:16
	26:14	25 : 10	conference	38:5
comes 9:10	26:21	completion	15 : 6	38:18
coming	28:7	20:4	conflict	38:23
13:24	29:23	complex	18:21	consider
18:22	30:1	36:10		25 : 10
23:25	31:11	37 : 1	confluence	
24:21	33:6		17:7	considerat
commend	37:4	compliment	Congratula	ion 25:8
38:12	37:8	ed 36:24	tions	considered
	41:12	component	36:9	5 : 12
commendati	41:25	29 : 2	conservati	21:12
on 32:15	42:4	comprehens	on 4:6	constant
comment	commission	ive	4:19	21:13
5:2	ers 11:8	24:11	4:19	
5:3		36:9	8:6 9:15	constructi
5:7 5:18	committee		9:23	on 30:17
13:4	11:10	compromise	12:6	consultant
14:14	15:6	d 34:7	12:12	22:10
17:16	committees	concern	13:17	consumers
19:14	6:5	12:13	19:2	16:18
25:18	common	12:19	19:3	39:21
26:3	27 : 17	31:13	19:5	
33:11		concerned	19:9	contains
35:24	commonly 36:19	25 : 5	21:6	7:2
36:8		33 : 16	21:24	context
commenters	communitie		22:24	31:8
20:19	s 32:21	concerns	23:3	contingenc
comments	Company	11:12	23:6	ies
4:7	36:6	12:5	25 : 24	27 : 11
4:7 5:9 5:10		conclude	26:6	32:13
5:18	competitiv	12:24	26:19	
5:18 5:21	e 7:21	conclusion	28:23	contingenc
11:13	7:24	21:13	32:14	y 32:8
	complete		32 : 17	35 : 8
13:1			Q = • ± /	

	Public Meeting Septe	ember 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 49
continue	18:7	35 : 10	7:15	Dan 11:3
12:6	18:9	36:23	35:20	11:4
16:3	18:10	37 : 5	critical	11 : 7
contracted	18:12	39:9	29:2	13:6
22:10	18:12	39:23	39:20	13:10
	31:17	41:23		13:18
contribute	31:20	42:2	culture	14:11
s 7:13	Coulee	42:3	31:25	15 : 12
cooperativ	30:18	42 : 5	current	19:10
e 36:13	council	Council's	39:20	danger
core 37:15	4:7 4:10	4:19	curve 8:16	34 : 25
correction	4:12	5 : 11	23:14	darn 16:14
23:13	4:19	5 : 17	customers	data 6:7
	4:21	28:4	36:17	
correlated	4:24	29:3		39:20
34:5	4:25 5:2	35 : 2		date
cost	5:4 5:13	36:8	dam	24:8
6:16	6:4 6:23	38:16	11:24	30:18
7:21	8:9 8:14	41:12	27 : 16	dates
7:24 8:5	9:7 10:8	counties	27 : 16	30:16
8:16	11:10	36:14	30:6	deal 36:22
8:17	11:13	County	30:15	
10:1	12:21	33 : 20	30:19	decisions
16:10	14:14	course	34:22	5:13 9:6
21:13	14:23	6:19	34:22	deep 20:18
21:24	19:18	7:18 8:2	34:22	defined
23:7	20:2	10:11	34:23	19 : 13
37:16	24:15	14:16	damaging	definitive
41:14	24:18	15:9	34:10	17:21
cost-	25:3	23:13	dams	
effectiv	25:23	24:3	27:2	degree
e 23:2	26:23	27 : 12	27:2	9:16
23:5	27:1 27:12	27 : 20	28:2	30:23
costly	28:18	37 : 1	28:5	deliberate
37:13	28:20	covers	28:25	42 : 5
costs	28:22	10:23	29:2	deliver
9:4	29:7		29:6	39:1
12:13	29:11	Crapo 27 : 23	32:9	delivered
15 : 25	34:11		32:11	22:4
16:8	34:14	create	34:21	~ ~ · ·

	Public Meeting Septe	ember 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 50
demand	descriptio	40:15	y 21:19	5 : 1
6:10	n 4:13	devoted	discussion	5 : 3
6:11	17:21	5 : 19	11:22	5:5 5:16
7:10	deserve	die 34:4	12 : 3	5 : 19
10:10	34:19		19 : 1	26:4
21:18		different	19:6	26:5
demands	desire	6:14 7:8	discussion	28:4
34:12	5:10	8:13		31:8
demonstrat	detail 7:4	16:11	s 28:1	33:25
ion 10:9	11:14	17:22	dispatch	34:19
39:19	detailed	20:1	9:14	36:10
	13:1	20:11	23:21	36:20
density	15:10	22:14	disqualify	36:23
36:17	20:22	22:17	14:25	37:1
Department	37:6	38:13	District	37:7
38:8	37:7	differentl	11:9	37:9
depend	details	y 23:21		38:12
32:22	37:11	difficult	diversifie	38:17
	40:24	16:21	d 40:16	38:19
depict			divided	39:2
18:7	develop	digested	39:11	39:14
18:15	4:22 6:8	33:22	document	39:17 39:24
depicted	9:22	directed	6:23	40:5
15 : 18	9:24	6 : 5	22:13	40:12
depiction	10:3	28:21	22:15	40:12
18:8	16:22	direction	33:23	40:25
	37:15	33:24	36:20	42:6
depicts	39:20	Director		
16:7	developed	25:25	documentat	draft's
describe	15:13		ion	29:9
5:25	15:23	directs	20:25	driver
17:4	developing	4:21	documents	31:18
18:12	14:1	dirty	20:20	driving
described	14:21	29:12	dominated	17:8
17:23	14:24	disaggrega	40:13	17 : 14
describes	developmen	te 39:4	done 11:17	Drury 20:8
7:4	t 9:25			20:9
	14:16	discovered	downtown	20:9
describing	21:12	7:7 7:23	37 : 25	
15:14	40:13	discrepanc	draft 4:17	due 34:4
15:15				

	Public Meeting Septe	ember 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 51
Dukes 4:10	32:4	37 : 10	9:10	29 : 7
13:14	32:21	37 : 12	9:11	39:9
13:15	effect	37 : 23	9:13	encouraged
13:20	32:18	38:15	21:15	26 : 4
14:5	41:4	39:9	element	26:8
During 6:9		39:16	18:13	26:10
_	effective	40:1		26:25
duty 24:18	8:5 8:16	40:4	else	28:3
dynamic	10:1	40:18	11:2	29:9
30:11	15:19	efficient	32:10	
	21:14	6 : 21	41:20	endangered
E	21:24		41:21	40:21
earlier	29:2	effort	emissions	energy
21:22	32:19	4:25	7:13	7:14
26:14	35:16	14:19	8:23	20:10
34:3	37:16	36:22	9:12	20:11
	40:20	38:9	9:12	20:14
early 37:3	41:14	41:13	9:19	21:17
39:19	effectivel	efforts	23:22	21:18
Eastern	У	36:24	24:4	22:3
33:8	15:22	37 : 23	26:10	22:6
36:14	16:7	40:14	26:17	22:10
echo	16:14	either	29:13	22:12
4:15	efficiency	10:4	29 : 15	22:16
26:14	10:10		34:1	22:16
31:10	21:15	elaborate	34:9	22:21
32:9	21:17	13:15	35 : 11	23:5
32:15	22:4	39:9	emit	24:11
33:6	22:6	elected	9:11	26 : 7
	22:13	11:8	11:25	26:9
economic	22:15	11:8		26:15
6:21	22:16	electric	emphasize	26:18
31:17	22:16	4:22	7:2	26:19
economical	22:21	36:13	8:9 22:2	26:20
ly 23:17	26:6	38:11	employed	27 : 7
economy	26:19	39:21	14:10	28:25
7:16	29:6		33:3	29:5
	29:10	electrical	encourage	29:10
ecosystem	34:13	35:17	23:4	31:9
30:3	35:6	electricit	23:19	31:21
30:7	35:13	y 6:10	27:9	32 : 5
31:19	55.15		21.5	

	Public Meeting Septe	mber 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 52
32:12	tally	11:9		40:21
32:22	41:14	exhausted	F	feel
33:11	equal 32:6	41:20	face 12:10	8:16
34:12	_		15 : 16	19:21
34:13	equilibriu	existing	38:13	33:23
34:14	m 30:12	6:11	facilitate	34:14
35:6	era	29:12	40:15	34 : 16
35:12	30:14	40:1	facilities	34 : 18
35:13	30:19	40:6	11:23	35 : 5
35:19	especially	expand	38:11	feels
36:18	12:11	37 : 22		33:21
37:10	22:2	expansion	facing	35:21 35:15
37:12		38:4	17:5	
37:23	essential	expenditur	26 : 11	field
38:8	36:1	es 39:14	<pre>fact 9:9</pre>	13:12
38:11	estimates	es 39:14	13:25	25 : 9
38:14	16:16	expert	21:21	fields
39:8	41:5	31:9	21:23	31:2
39:16	et 8:21	experts	24:12	figure
40:1	9:5 9:5	25 : 9	28:24	19:19
40:4	evaluate	29:1	Falls	
40:7	18:4	explain	31 : 24	final 5:13
engaged		16:9	33:5	13:4
4:24	evening	19:22	33 : 15	27:10
enhance	5:10		33:21	28:5
40:10	14:9	exploding	35 : 15	34:15
enhanced	42:11	35:16	fantastic	35 : 7
36:1	everybody	extended	22:22	42:7
30:1	17:7	39:22		finalized
enormous	everyone	extinction	fastest	36 : 1
22:4	4:5	35:1	22 : 7	finally
ensuring			Fazio 5:24	12 : 19
41:14	exactly	extraordin	6:2 14:6	17 : 1
entire	21:15	arily	feasible	19:1
33:23	22:1	33:12	25:15	32:14
	examining	35:1		35 : 21
environmen	12:25	extremely	federal	findings
tal	except	34:9	18:23	7:7 9:8
20:12	9 : 14	eye 30:13	18:24	
34:8	executive	_	24:21	finds
environmen	EVECULIAG		40:6	21:24

<u>.</u>	Page 53	File # 10033-13	tember 15, 2009 NRC	Public Meeting Septe	
	generally	16:1	forecasts	23:1	i
	11:15	16:8	17:20	36:17	
	15.8	16.25	17.22	38.20	

	T	·		
finished	23:1	forecasts	16:1	generally
10:17	36:17	17:20	16:8	11:15
first	38:20	17 : 22	16:25	15:8
20:17	39:4	forth 9:17	17:20	39:11
25:2	five-	forward	18:13	generating
29:25	year	13:4	22:15	7:24
30:9	9:21	14:1	24:22	16:11
37:9	23:10	15:16	26:7	37:13
37:10	35:18	17:14	27:11	generation
38:20	flexibilit	17:14 17:18	28:2	7:18
39:24		17:18 17:22	30:5	8:18
41:24	y 11:19	18:5	30:21	8:19
fish		19 : 7	31:4	9:10
6:23	11:20	19:24	31:7	9:14
12:13	flexible	20:3	35:25	9:24
26:24	11:16	31:8	38:2	10:4
27:14	floor 12:7	38:15	38:4	10:5
28:21	14:3	42 : 3	40:9	10:11
31:22			41:15	12:2
32:6	fluctuatio	fossil	futures	12:15
34:2	ns 6:17	31:12	7:8	16:5
35:9	focus 21:6	foundation	11:21	41:1
35:22	26:18	18 : 2	21:11	41:3
40:24	26:22	frame 39:2	27:6	
41:2	33:18			geothermal
41:6	focused	fuel		9:2
41:10	39:5	7 : 12	gains	40:17
		7 : 25	17:11	getting
fisheries	focuses	8 : 22	35:16	14:19
26:13	37:9	31:12		16:21
33:13	focusing	full 20:22	gas 8:22	given
35:25	26:5	fully	9:16	35:16
Fishery	folks 16:3	39 : 13	23:22	
29:1	18:25		35:11	gives 8:3
fishing	19:14	future	gas-	glaciers
28:23	25:8	6:14	fired	30:25
		12:11	8:18	31:1
five	forces	14:22	40:13	glad 10:18
4:23	30:5	14:22	general	
4:24	forecastin	15:19	25:3	glasses
6:25	g 6:9	15:21	39:8	14:11
			1 33.0	

	Public Meeting Septe	mber 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 54
14:12	governors	greater	39:21	41:13
global	24:5	12:14	happy	helpful
29:14	grades	greatest	25 : 19	12 : 18
33:25	37:14	26:11	Harbor	16:6
34:6	Grand	greatly	34:22	31:14
34:8	30:18	40:5	hat's 20:2	41 : 25
35:11	34:5	greenhouse		helping
goal	grandchild	23 : 22	haven't	16:23
6:19	ren 25:6	35 : 11	21:5	19 : 22
12:9	25:16		33:22	helps 16:8
18:19		grid	having 4:7	16:18
18:20	grandson's	10:5	11:20	19 : 7
22:7	25:6	38:8	19:17	He's 15:2
35:17	25:16	group 18:6	22 : 12	
goals 9:17	Granite	29:24	27 : 25	high
29:14	34:23	groups	34:17	6:17
35:19	grant 38:8	28:23	hawks 32:2	15:8
35:21	graphicall	growing	hear 23:25	higher
Gold 37:25	y 17:9	21:18		23:4
Gold's			hearing	23:10
38:1	grave	growth	4:17 4:18 5:7	23:18
	34:25	7:11		24:9
gone	great	21:25 22:20	hearings	32:17
32:3	7:4 11:6	22:20	5:4 21:4	35:19
32:3	11:15	30:22	Hege	37:19
36:23	11:22		28:9	41:5
Gonzaga	13:4	guess	28:10	historic
28:10	13:12	14:11	28:15	30:5
goods	14:18	guys 22:18	28:15	history
16:10	15:14		28 : 17	30 : 4
Google	17:11	H	held 4:18	31 : 7
30:25	19:4	handle	Hello	hits 16:1
	19:16 20:3	31:20	4:5	
Goose	30:7	Hanford	25:22	hold 5:4
34:22	30:7	10:22	28:10	37:18
Gordon	31:22	happen	36:5	home 16:1
10:19	31:23	32:11		hope 12:21
government	31:24		help 7 : 15	37 : 25
24:21	36:22	happens	10:6	hopeful
			10.0	Hoperur

Public Meeting	September 15, 2009	NRC File # 10033-13	Page 5
----------------	--------------------	---------------------	--------

	Public Meeting Septe	ember 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 55
38:9	36:15	26:10	improvemen	increases
hopefully	identifies	37:13	t 22:24	37 : 19
42:7	22:25	41:7	improvemen	increasing
Hospital	ignorance	implement	ts 40:18	27 : 22
29:23	13:12	38:10	inaudible	increasing
	I'11	implementa	19:11	ly 34:5
house		tion		_
22:11 32:1	11:1 13:12	6:22	include	Independen
	15:12	37:14	29:7	ce 36:18
housing	15:12	implementi	34:20	index 18:9
40:1	17:23	_	40:16	indicated
huge		ng 19:5	included	39:2
19:6	I'm 11:7	implicatio	27:10	41 : 3
23:7	11:11	ns 33:13	28 : 5	individual
human	13:11	importance	includes	
19:10	14:9	11:19	21:9	8:11 8:12
	18:23	32:16	29:13	11:19
hundreds	20:10	36:10	including	14:21
21:11	21:6	important	5:16	19:25
hydro	21:10 25:19	12:1	15:9	41:13
11:22	29:21	12:23	38:21	
11:25	31:9	16:1	39:23	industry
12:3	33:3	17:2	40:17	25:4
40:7	33:3	18:13		influenced
40:17	36:5	27:10	inclusion	30 : 6
40:22	36:5	33:12	34:19	30:20
hydroelect	41:22	33:12	incoming	influentia
ric		34:2	25 : 24	1 24:11
34:24	images	34:9	incorporat	informatio
40:18	31:23	38:25	ed 25:7	
	immediatel	40:10	ingomomot	n 5:15
I	y 14:25	importantl	<pre>incorporat es 12:10</pre>	6:8 6:12
ice 31:2	imminent	y 5:12		6:13 7:4 7:5
34:22	34:24	_	14:4	9:6
I' d 4:9	impacted	improve	increase	9:6 16:11
4:14	40:21	10:10	16:2	38:9
		38:10	16:2	41:24
Idaho 4:11	impacts	improved	increased	42:6
16:19	18:12	21:15	22:12	
27 : 23	18:15	37:20	40:20	infrastruc

tures 10:6 inherited 30:21 Initiative 9:18 18:24 24:7 36:19 initiative s 18:24 Inland 25:25 36:6 36:12 36:12 36:25	n 40:8 interest 18:6 interested 6:6 19:4 interests 19:12 19:21 38:19 39:15 internist 31:9	11:16 11:16 12:1 12:23 13:4 14:18 17:2 17:20 19:9 20:25 21:1 26:8	29:21 32:24 judge 27:22 K Kalich 15:1 Karier 4:5 4:5 5:20 6:2	Xim 20:8 20:8 20:9 24:24 kinds 7:8 35:21 knew 13:2 known
<pre>inherited 30:21 Initiative 9:18 18:24 24:7 36:19 initiative s 18:24 Inland 25:25 36:6 36:12 36:12 36:12</pre>	18:6 interested 6:6 19:4 interests 19:12 19:21 38:19 39:15 internist 31:9	12:1 12:23 13:4 14:18 17:2 17:20 19:9 20:25 21:1 26:8	judge 27:22 K Kalich 15:1 Karier 4:5 4:5 5:20	20:9 24:24 kinds 7:8 35:21 knew 13:2
30:21 Initiative 9:18 18:24 24:7 36:19 initiative s 18:24 Inland 25:25 36:6 36:12 36:12	18:6 interested 6:6 19:4 interests 19:12 19:21 38:19 39:15 internist 31:9	12:23 13:4 14:18 17:2 17:20 19:9 20:25 21:1 26:8	27:22 K Kalich 15:1 Karier 4:5 4:5 5:20	24:24 kinds 7:8 35:21 knew 13:2
Initiative 9:18 18:24 24:7 36:19 initiative s 18:24 Inland 25:25 36:6 36:12 36:12	<pre>interested 6:6 19:4 interests 19:12 19:21 38:19 39:15 internist 31:9</pre>	13:4 14:18 17:2 17:20 19:9 20:25 21:1 26:8	Kalich 15:1 Karier 4:5 4:5 5:20	kinds 7:8 35:21 knew 13:2
9:18 18:24 24:7 36:19 initiative s 18:24 Inland 25:25 36:6 36:12 36:12	6:6 19:4 interests 19:12 19:21 38:19 39:15 internist 31:9	14:18 17:2 17:20 19:9 20:25 21:1 26:8	Kalich 15:1 Karier 4:5 4:5 5:20	7:8 35:21 knew 13:2
9:18 18:24 24:7 36:19 initiative s 18:24 Inland 25:25 36:6 36:12 36:12	<pre>interests 19:12 19:21 38:19 39:15 internist 31:9</pre>	17:2 17:20 19:9 20:25 21:1 26:8	Kalich 15:1 Karier 4:5 4:5 5:20	7:8 35:21 knew 13:2
18:24 24:7 36:19 initiative s 18:24 Inland 25:25 36:6 36:12 36:12	19:12 19:21 38:19 39:15 internist 31:9	17:20 19:9 20:25 21:1 26:8	15:1 Karier 4:5 4:5 5:20	35:21 knew 13:2
24:7 36:19 initiative s 18:24 Inland 25:25 36:6 36:12 36:12	19:12 19:21 38:19 39:15 internist 31:9	19:9 20:25 21:1 26:8	Karier 4:5 4:5 5:20	knew 13:2
36:19 initiative s 18:24 Inland 25:25 36:6 36:12 36:12	19:21 38:19 39:15 internist 31:9	20:25 21:1 26:8	4:5 5:20	
initiative s 18:24 Inland 25:25 36:6 36:12 36:12	38:19 39:15 internist 31:9	21:1 26:8	4:5 5:20	known
s 18:24 Inland 25:25 36:6 36:12 36:12	39:15 internist 31:9	26:8		VIIOMII
Inland 25:25 36:6 36:12 36:12	<pre>internist 31:9</pre>			36:19
Inland 25:25 36:6 36:12 36:12	31:9			
25:25 36:6 36:12 36:12		27:10	10:14	Kris
36:6 36:12 36:12	l	31:4	13:6	36:4
36:12 36:12	interwoven	31:23	13:9	36:4
36:12	15:8	32:3	13:14	36:5
		36:22	13:18	41:17
36:25	introduce	40:1	14:8	kudo 11:1
	4:9		20:5	11:22
37:12	10:16		20:8	
37:18	investment		24:24	kudos
37:22	16:19	Jeff 27:23	25:20	11:12
38:6	16:24	Jerry 33:1	28:8	11:15
39:13		33:2	28:13	15 : 12
39:25	inviting	36:3	28:16	20:17
40:5	25:3	Joan 4:10	29:19	20:24
40:14	involved		32:24	
40:19	14:14	job	36:3	L
41:4	· 20 . F	11:15	41:17	La 13:7
41:9	issue 32:5	15:14		13:8
41:12	issues	16:23	Kathy	14:9
	17 : 5	17:3	10:20	14:9
Inland's	17 : 8	28:17	Keith	20:7
36:16	17 : 13	jobs	24:25	
37:15	19:24	7:15	24:25	laid 9:19
37:21	36:22	35:20	25:1	landscape
input 30:2	item 38:16		25:20	30:12
-	Trem 20:10	John		
insults	items	5:24 6:1	Kettle	largely
34:8	items	5:24 6:1	Weccie	rargery

	Public Meeting	Septe	ember 15, 2009	NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 57
16	let's		30:11		8 : 17	41:2
er	10:21		living		21:25	markets
17	29:20		30:22		22:20	38:22
	level		31:4		22:21	
13:7	12.17				35 : 19	may 5:9

36:16	10+10	20.11	8:17	11.2
	let's	30:11	8:17 21:25	41:2
larger	10:21	living		markets
14:17	29:20	30 : 22	22:20 22:21	38:22
Larry 13:7	level	31:4	35:19	may 5:9
14:8	13:17	local 7:15		5:17 8:7
14:9	15 : 8	7 : 15	lower 8:22	8:7 8:13
20:6	32:10	13 : 17	14:3	8 : 13
last 37:24	38:18	33 : 8	23:12	18 : 21
	39:15	locally	27:2	18:22
later 5:14	levels	11:8	27:13	33 : 7
latest	18:16		28:25	38:25
4:24	37:20	locally-	29:6	maybe
lay 24:18	Lewis 30:9	elected	32:9	17 : 17
_		13:12	33:20 34:20	17 : 20
lays 38:19	life	location	34:20	17 : 23
lead 9:5	30:7	37 : 25	34:22	18:2
least	30:20 31:23	long	74.43	18:8
21:14		23:7		18:18
25:12	light	26:18	Mace 25:21	36 : 12
leave	18:19	27 : 13	25:22	McElroy
5:9 17:2	36:6	29:1	32:7	10:20
	likely	longer-		
leaving	31:3	term	maintained	mean 13:20
23:5	31:5	18:3	35:25	means
LEED 37:25	31:20		major	37 : 12
38:1	limit 9:14	long-	24:14	measure
legislatio	link 5:18	term	makers	18 : 3
n 7:19		39:16	16:18	measures
9:25	list 10:25	lose 23:8	manager	38:22
15 : 20	19:12	lost 12:15	15:1	38:25
18:23	32:25	23:8		40 : 1
legislativ	41:20	24:14	managing	40:4
e 18:24	little	41:1	32:21	meet 6:5
	17 : 24	41:2	manner	6:11
legislatur	18:21	lot 10:3	37 : 18	11:20
es 24:6	29:17	10:7	Mark 10:21	17:21
less 7:1	34:22	21:4	market	18:4
lessen	live 16:20	low 6:16	12:16	21:17
37:13	lived	8:17	39:6	21:25
		O • 1 /	33.0	

	Public Meeting Septe	ember 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 58
22:21	36:24	13:10		20:10
23:15	37:19	minimizes	N	22:9
24:5	39:25	6 : 17	native	25 : 25
24:13	41:9		26:12	26:15
28:24	42:2	minute	33:16	27 : 7
34:12	mentioned	13:9	natural	28:20
meeting	5 : 23	17:15	8:18	29:16
4:1	11:18	minutes	9:16	34:12
18:16	13:16	7:1	31:18	37 : 6
26:7	merge	21:20	40:13	note 38:6
29:13	14:21	model	nearly	noted 35:4
meets		37 : 15	7:10	39:17
17:19	Merkley	models		40:12
28:19	27:24	6:13	near-	
	mesh 18:25	0:13	term	notes
megawatt	message	money	8:19	14:11
14:3	16:9	35:20	nice 16:23	nothing
39:4	17:2	Montana	17 : 3	32:10
39:10		18:17	21:1	November
megawatts	method	28:11	none 20:5	5:3 42:4
9:22	18:11	Monumental		
23:1	methodolog	34:22	Nonetheles	nuclear
23:3	y 6:10		s 37:3	9:2
27 : 4	mid	mostly	nonprofit	
27 : 5	23:12	10:17	33:15	0
29:4	41:8	move	36:13	Obama
34:13		5 : 21	nonstop	27 : 20
34:14	Mikkelsen	15:16	16:4	objective
35:4	36:4	17 : 22	Northern	14:23
35:18	36:5	26:18	36:15	obligation
member 4:6	36:5	moved		26 : 22
11:9	mile 36:17	37 : 24	northwest	
13:14	Milligan	Moving	4:6 4:18	observatio
members	24:25	31:22	4:20	ns 37:4
4:10	25:1		4:21 5:5	obviously
12:21	25:1	myriad	7:19	11:13
14:15	millions	34:8	15:6	12 : 25
19:19	41:9	myself	15:14	22:6
33:8		14:25	17:10	33:6
36:14	mind 11:1		17:19	occurred
			17:25	

	Public Meeting Septe	ember 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 59
30:13	24:14	38 : 7	particular	9:11
offer 13:1	25:2	38:16	ly 12:15	21:23
37:4	26:3	otherwise	15 : 15	41 : 5
41:11	30:2	41:6	16:12	performer
offering	33:11	outline	parties	19:5
40:4	35:23	5:22	6 : 6	perhaps
office	36:7 40:2	11 : 12	partnering	8:20
5:25	41:11	outlined	38:7	25 : 9
10:20		38:17	passed	30:14
10:22	options		7:19	period
official	27:18 27:25	output 8:3 40:8		15:11
13:12			past	20:23
	order 9:12	outside	22:5	30 : 22
oh 16:17	23:21	6 : 7	30:21	31:4
Okay 10:14	24:17	overall	path	31 : 6
13:6	Oregon	11:16	24:3	periodic
14:5	4:11	overstated	24:12	38:18
20:5	18:17	36:11	patience	periodical
24:24	27:24	overview	14:8	ly 9:23
41:19	organizati	21:9	peaking	_
older	on	21:10	7:14	periods
14:10	15:9	21:22	peanut	39:22
Ongoing	33:16	25 : 13	17:16	permitting
38:24	33:18	25:14	18:6	40:8
onset	organizati		penalties	perspectiv
30:17	ons	P	7:12 8:1	е
	20:12	p.m 42:13		14:17
open 11:1	20:13	Pacific	people 4:9	14:24
opening	originally	15:5	16:23	15 : 6
4:16	28:11		18:13 19:7	15 : 7
operates	Osborn	packs 34:9	27:23	15:17
11:23	29:20	page 5:18	30:11	15:17
opportunit	29:21	paragraph	32:25	17:10
ies 26:6	29:21	21:10		17:11 18:1
opportunit	others 8:4	participat	<pre>per 22:5 23:1</pre>	18:1 18:1
y 13:3	19:18	ion 4:15	36:17	19:10
y 13:3	25:4	particular		19:11
14:15	32:15	16:20	percent	19:11
1	I	10.40	7:10 9:9	

35:3

	Public Meeting Septe	ember 15, 2009 NF	RC File # 10033-13	Page 60
19:24	4:23	21:13	34:15	36:10
perspectiv	4:25 5:1	21:17	34:18	plants
es 14:18	5:3	21:22	34:19	9:11
16:5	5:5 5:12	21:23	35:8	24:16
	5:14	22:3	35:11	26:8
Peterson	5:16	22:25	35:18	31:12
11:3	5:16	23:2	35:24	40:14
11:7	5:19	23:13	36:2	
11:7	5:23 6:8	23:15	36:8	play 26:24
13:11	6:19 7:1	24:2	36:10	players
13:19	7:2 8:14	24:3	36:20	39:7
13:22	9:20	24:10	36:23	plead
14:7	9:21	24:10	37:1	13:11
PF 12:16	10:8	24:11	37:1	
41:1	10:12	24:19	37:7	<pre>please 5:8</pre>
phase	11:16	25 : 7	37:9	5:16
23:20	12:4	25 : 12	38:12	pleased
	12:14	25:13	38:16	21:16
31:13 31:16	13:4	26:4	38:17	22:2
	14:16	26:5	38:19	22:8
phasing	14:21	26:24	39:2	PM 4:3
25:10	14:22	27:10	39:14	PM 4:3
29:12	14:24	27 : 11	39:17	point 8:10
phonetic	15:1	27:19	39:18	8:19
31:24	15:10	28:4	39:24	21:19
32:2	15:13	28:5	40:5	23:9
	15:14	28:19	40:12	23:24
physician	15:18	29 : 8	40:24	pointed
29:22	15:24	29:9	40:25	29:1
piece 17:2	16:6	29:12	42:7	nolian
pin 13:20	16:10	29:13	planned	policy 12:20
	16:15	29:14	21:4	16:18
pipe 18:22	16:23	30:23		24:20
placed	17:3	31:8	planning	31:18
5:11	18:7	31:15	34:20	
plains	19:16	32:8	35:24	policywise
38:1	19:19	32:12	plans 6:16	12 : 24
	19:21	32:16	24:7	Ponderay
plan 4:8	20:3	33:11	35:8	11:8
4:17	21:9	33:23	41:23	11:22
4:20	21:10	33:25	plan's	11:23
4:22				

	Page 61			
population	5:19	35 : 8	15:21	production
30:22	5 : 23	35 : 24	16 : 7	32:5
population	6:21 7:1	36:2	16:14	profound
s	7:2	36:6	19:12	30:13
33 : 17	8:3	36:21	27 : 5	30:13
34:2	9:1	37 : 5	previous	
34:10	9:2	41 : 15	20:18	profoundly
35:9	9:2	42 : 7		30:6
35:22	9:3 9:20	PowerPoint	price 6:17	30:20
	10:12	6 : 1	8:22	program
portion	11:10	21 : 20	prices	6 : 23
7:20	11:12	prefer	7:12	39:19
Portland	15 : 14	30:17	7 : 25	programmat
5 : 24	15 : 22		16:17	ically
10:22	15 : 25	preference	primary	23:18
pose 34:24	16:4	41:4	33 : 18	
_	16:8	preliminar	37 : 12	programs
position	18:7	y 37:4	prior 33:6	38:22
22:3	18:9	41 : 12	_	39:5
22:11	18:10	nronaratio	problem	progress
possible	18:12	preparatio	8:2	9:24
21:11	18:19	n 36:23	problems	17:24
22:19	19:16	preparing	10:4	progressiv
25 : 8	22:14	37 : 22	Proceeding	e 20:13
posted	24:10	presented	s 42:13	
5 : 23	24:10	21:20		project
potential	24:18	24:6	process	11:24
6:14 7:8	25:11 26:4	presenting	6:9	40:17
7:12 8:1	26:23	32 : 15	processes	projected
	26:24		7 : 5	7:11
power	27:3	presents	produce	projects
4:6	28:19	12:8	7:10	34:24
4:8 4:17	28:20	16:10		35:3
4:19	28:21	preserve	produced	40:18
4:20	31:12	12:1	29:5	promising
4:21	32:13	40:10	32:22	10:9
4:22	33:23	president	produces	
4:25 5:1	35 : 2	25 : 24	6 : 24	promote
5:3 5:11	35:4		producing	8:17
5:13	35:5	pretty	40:20	properly
5 : 15		5:21		

	Page 62			
12:23	36:9	quote 21:8	g	
proposed	PUD 11:23	quoting	9:13	32:16
4:19	pull 35:8	21:11	11:21	38:12
5:15	puil 33:0		12 : 5	recommend
proposing	purpose	 R	12 : 15	35 : 7
34:11	5:9 7:22	raised	12:20	record
		32:6	14:13	
provide	Q		14:19	5:11
6:20	qualitativ	range 12:6	16:1	28:14
7:10	e 18:1	12:7	16:3	recorded
30:2	qualitativ	14:3	16:6	5:10
37:19	ely 17:4	15:19	17:1	recovery
38:9	ery 17:4	39 : 5	17 : 6	29:3
provided	quantitati	40:17	19 : 15	41 : 10
5:8	ve 18:3	rate 12:17	21:1	
provides	quantitati	16:2	26 : 4	redevelop
-	vely	16:2	26 : 5	16:4
7:14	17:4	18 : 12	27 : 5	reduce
12:2		37 : 19	30:13	9:12
15:24	quarter	41:1	31:10	9:18
16:16	38:21	41:4	reasonable	23:22
providing	question	41:5	11:16	24:1
8:15	28:2	rather	16 : 16	24:4
36:7	questions	12 : 8	39 : 13	26:17
40:7	10:13	29:10	40:14	29:15
prudent	10:14		reasons	reduced
39:13	10:18	reach	8:21	34:9
40:19	13:10	24:19		34 : 10
public 4:1	13:13	readily	recent	
4:7 4:17	20:5	35 : 5	40:12	reducing
4:7 4:17 4:17 4:18 5:2	24:24	reads	recently	25:10
5:4 11:9	25:19	20:25	27 : 6	34:1
11:10			39:25	34:8
11:10	quick	real 19:15	recognize	35:12
25:3	26:21	39:21	11:24	reduction
30:1	36:12	39:21	24:21	18:16
37:5	quickly	realistic		reductions
	5 : 21	15 : 24	recognizes	29:14
publicatio	quite	realistica	11:19	redundant
n 37:3	27:19	1ly 12:9	40:6	
publishing		y 12.3	recognizin	33 : 7

ublic Meeting	September 15, 2009	NRC File # 10033-13	Page 63
---------------	--------------------	---------------------	---------

	Page 63			
referenced	34:12	5 : 1	renewable	represents
32:7	35:8	reliabilit	7:18	17:6
referred	35:20	У	7:20	20:21
23:21	41:13	17:19	7:21	require
referring	regional	17 : 22	9:24	8 : 13
13:23	4:22	reliable	29:10	required
13:25	8:10	6:21	34:14	6:8 9:24
	14:24	37:16	35 : 6	29:5
reflected	15:17	41:15	35:13	
30:23	18:19		40:10	requiremen
regard	19:23	reliance	renewables	t 37:6
12:11	24:13	19:6	10:1	requiremen
regarding	36:21	relies	12:2	ts 19:23
4:17	38:7	21:17	26:6	38:3
40:24	regionally	reluctance	26:19	requires
	16:7	39:3	29 : 7	7:20
regardless 27:15	region's		renewals	
	9:9	rely 21:2	8:6	research
region 6:7	28:24	relying	replace	10:9
6:7 6:20		23:10	29 : 5	39:19
7:11 8:7	regulate	remains	32:13	resident
8:12	24:16	28:3		33:3
8:17	regulatory	remedy	replaced	33:16
9:22	15:3	32:19	27 : 3	resource
17:5	reinvest		35 : 3	6 : 15
17:9	16:4	reminder	35:12	6 : 20
19:22	related	41:23	Report	7 : 20
20:12 21:14	19:2	removal	27 : 6	7:22 9:1
21:14	19:9	27 : 12	reporting	15:1
22:4	38:11	27 : 16	15 : 11	16:13
23:7		27 : 17	20:23	16:13
24:3	relates	29:1	represent	16:14
24:4	13:16	remove	15 : 5	21:14
24:12	relative	34:20	33:8	37:10
24:13	6:16	removed		40:12
26:23	relatively	27:2	representi	resources
27:23	37:2	28:6	ng	6:11 8:7
33:13	38:22		11:5	8:14
33:17	41:8	removing	25:5	8:16
34:1	released	32 : 8	25 : 22	8:20
	Tereasea			

	Public Meeting Septe	mber 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 64
8:24	34:15	27 : 2	salmon	15 : 21
8:25 9:3	return	27:13	25 : 25	science
11:25	23:4	27 : 15	26:12	24:8
15:22		28:25	27 : 7	27 : 14
16:11	review	29:24	27 : 20	
16:12	4:23	30:3	28:19	scope
17:18	4:25 5:2	30 : 7	29:3	36:10
31:18	5:12	30 : 7	31:25	seamlessly
36:25	20:20	30:10	31:25	10:3
37:13	36:25	30:14	33:4	second
40:8	37:6	30:20	33:17	12:13
40:10	38:24	31:1	34:3	21:10
40:16	reviewed	31:19	34:4	23:23
respect	12:20	31:22	34:25	
18:22	12:23	32 : 1	40:21	sector
20:18	27:22	32 : 4	Sam	9:13
	reviewing	32 : 9	25 : 21	9:13
respected	40:23	33 : 20	25:21	seeing
16:7		34:5	28:8	10:15
respective	reviews	34:21	29:4	20:5
ly 34:21	38:18	robust	32:7	27 : 22
mananaa	revised	19:3		41:22
response	5:1		save 25:25	seeking
10:10	rhetoric	role 26:24	27 : 7	33 : 25
responsibi	13:22	Ronde 34:5	33:3	
lity	13:22	room 19:18	35:19	seem 33:7
24:18	rich 31:25	100m 19.10	saving	seems 7:21
39:8	32:1	Rosemarie	22:5	23 : 17
restored	richness	41:18	35:17	24:14
27:15	31:24	run 6:12	savings	30 : 4
restoring	risk	23 : 7	23:8	sees 39:10
27:20	6:17	running	39:1	
	8:17	23:14	39:24	selected
restrictio	8:22 9:8		40:3	30:16
ns 15:19		rural		30:19
results	risks 7:11	36:16 scale		Senator
39:19	7:25	38:13	40:15	27 : 23
retail	risky		scenario	sense
	21:14	S	18:18	23:17
37:19	river	Salivo	scenarios	27:17
retain	11:23	31:23	15:18	
	11.43		10.10	September

	Page 65			
4:2	10:25	6:3 7:17	10:20	
5:1 37:3	18:17	slightly	26:9	14:10
series	significan	33:7	26:19	29:15
30:5	t 26:9	small	sorts 9:3	29:22
service	38:4	40:15	sound	32:1
36:16	significan	41:8	41:14	33:3
37:17	tly			33:5
37:17	37:22	smaller	sounded	33:15
37:21		38:13	13:21	33:21
	simply	smart 10:5	sources	35:15
serving	13:25	38 : 7	26:20	36:8
36:13	25:5	smarter	35:13	37 : 25
sets 22:25	32:9	38 : 11	southeast	stabilize
Setting	38:25	Snake	34:21	29:14
35:19	sincerely	27:13	southeaste	33:25
several	19:16	27 : 15	rn 33:19	stabilizin
33:24	sit 11:4	28:25		g
34:18	site	32:9	space 38:2	26:16
	5:17	33:20	38:4	35:10
share	5:24	34:21	38:5	Stacy
28:11	21:22	snapshot	speak 11:1	10 : 19
sheet 5:8		7:1 9:21	15 : 2	staff
short	six 5:6	10:12	41:19	6 : 5
23:14	Sixth		41:21	10:17
30:12	4:8 4:20	snow 34:9	special	10:23
shortage	5:15 6:8	solar	8:12	14:15
8:21	6:19	35:14	species	17 : 12
	9:19	somebody	34:7	19:16
shortly 41:4	21:13 21:21	11:5		19:18
		someone	<pre>specific 24:2</pre>	20:24
shows 23:6	24:10 26:4	29 : 16	24:2	36:24
35:2	28:18			42:3
shut 24:17	29:8	sometime	specificit	stakeholde
Sierra	36:20	42:8	y 19:14	rs 27:25
27:8	39:18	somewhere	spending	standard
29:24	42:7	27 : 4	41:9	39:23
sign 5:8	size 9:5	Sorry	spent	39:23 40:3
41:19		13:19	40:23	
	sized 41:8	sort	Spokane	standards
signed	slide	-	Showare	39:6

	Page 66			
start 4:12	34:25	22:9	supporting	taking 5:2
10:24	40:21	22:14	6 : 22	13:10
11:3	steeper	subject	supportive	15 : 3
15:12	23:15	36:18	40:14	42:10
23:11	step 33:23	submit	40:19	talk 10:19
23:12	_	5 : 17	41:12	11 : 2
23:18	stepped	42:4	supports	17 : 8
30:18	30:9		27:12	20:16
30:25	stock 40:1	submitting	39:13	42:11
33:10	stocks	11:13		talked
starting	34:25	20:22	sure	17:24
37:14		suggest	10:2	
state 9:25	stories	22:24	10:14	target
11:5	22:13	suggesting	12:22	22:25
21:8	strategica	23 : 13	18:23	23:4
27:2	lly	24:15	31:19	23:10
28:13	23:18	24:17	survey	23:10
34:21	strategy		39 : 25	23:12
	6:15	suggestion	sustainabi	23:16
stated 4:7	6:16	18:11	lity	23:19
12:14	6:20 7:6	23:23	39:16	24:9
statement	8:10	summary		39 : 5
4:16	8:15	21:21	system	39:10
17:17	9:19	25 : 14	15 : 15	39:12
17 : 18	31:15	superheate	15 : 22	targets
states 5:5	31:15	d 34:4	16 : 5	12:6
7:19			17:19	19:2
9:18	strongest	supplant	18:19	19:3
16:20	23:24	18:11	19:23	21:6
18:16	strongly	supply	36:17	22:25
24:6	21:17	6 : 21	40:7	24:5
24:20	23:4	8:16	40:22	24:14
30:10	27:12	36:21	41:15	24:20
	33:6	support	systems	32:17
State's	35:7	27:16	8:3 9:11	38:20
36:18	student	27:16		technical
stay 35:7	28:10	28:23	T	19:10
steelhead		29:12	table 4:10	
26:12	studied	38:17	23:6	technologi
28:20	13:22	39:8	28:3	cal
33:17	studies			13:23
	1			

	Page 67			
technologi	24:23	22:1	thrilled	on
es	25:2	22:22	22:22 22:1	
7:24	25:20	25 : 18	25:18 throughout	
10:10	29:18	27:14	20:12	16:19
12:11	29:19	32:3		16:22
14:1	29:25	33:18	tidal 9:1	16:24
technology	30:1	themselves	tier 37:14	35 : 17
14:4	32:23	18:9	today	35 : 17
35:16	32:24	therapy	20:16	tremendous
	33:2	32:20	21:23	40:6
ten	35:23		25:4	tried 38:2
22:20	36:7	there's	25 : 22	
25:11	41:11	21:3	27 : 21	trout
32:21	41:16	22:23	Tom 4:5	25 : 23
tends	42:10	third		26:2
14:21	thanking	11:22	4:14	26:12
15:21	33:10	12:19	tonight	32 : 7
term 26:18	thanks	thorough 4:15		33:5
terms 26:7	4:14	21:1	14:14	33:15
26:16	4:15		15:2	33:17
27:2	5:20 6:3	thoroughly	21:3	35:15
27:3	10:15	20:19	21:7	try 8:4
40:7	14:6	thoroughne	22:18	23:15
	14:8	ss 21:2	26:1	trying
territory	20:6	thoughtful	26:21	17 : 12
36:16	22:6	11:17	32:6	19:19
37:17	24:24	41:25	32:16	23:11
37:21	28:7		41:21	
Terry	28:8	thoughts	touched	TU 27:12
17:12	28:16	28:12	29:4	Tuesday
19:17	36:3	thousand	towards	42:11
text 5:16	41:17	14:3	29:10	turn 24:16
thank 5:19	41:24	threatened	town 16:2	type 16:12
6:2	that's	40:20		
6:2 13:3	9:14	threats	transcript	
13:6	10:12	26:11	42:2	8:13
14:7	10:22		transforma	10:5
20:7	18:8	three-	tion	16:11
20:7	18:11	year-old	39:6	40:17
21:3	21:15	25 : 6	transmissi	typically
1 4.7	I	25.16	OT CHILD ST	

25:16

	Public Meeting Septe	mber 15, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-13	Page 68
31:1	33:15	value	29 : 15	37 : 24
	35:15	12 : 15	34:1	weeks
U	Upper	40:6	34:6	5:6
uncertaint	29:24	41:1	Washington	23:25
ies		41:2	18:17	welcome
12:10	urge 28:18	41:3	25:23	
13:16	29:11	valued	26:2	4:11 4:18
uncertaint	useful	12 : 16	27 : 12	
y 14:2	18:25		33:3	11:4
y 14:2 14:4	36:20	variable	33:9	20:8 24:25
19:2	38:9	8:3 8:20	33:19	
19:2	utilities	40:8	34:21	25:21
32:11	6:6	varied	36:14	28:9
32:11	8:4 8:11	40:4	36:18	29:20
understand	8:12	Various	water	33:1
13:1	11:20	30:16		36:4
16:18	14:18		26:12	we'll
16:23	14:22	Veterans	33:13	4 : 7
17:13	15:6	29:22	34:2	10:24
19:8	19:25	via 40:3	34:4	11:3
38:21	20:13	vice 25:24	34:10	12:25
39:3	37:6		35:22	13:11
39:15	38:7	view	35:25	we're 4:13
understand	38:13	8:10	watershed	5 : 20
ing	41:13	21:8	30:10	12 : 24
38 : 10		21:16	31:1	14 : 2
40:25	utility	views	wave 9:1	15 : 25
	6:6 11:9	37 : 12		22 : 1
understand	15:17	visit 5:16	ways 33:24	23:14
s 8:11	17:10	14:15	wealth 7:3	31:4
underway	18:1		Web 5:17	31:6
31:3	19:22	volatile	5 : 18	33:16
unit 9:5	39:5	7:12	5 : 23	42:11
	41:8	7 : 25	21:22	west 38:1
United		vulnerable		
30:9	V	35:1	we'd	Western
Unlimited	VA 29:23		5:22	9:18
05.00	vaguely		10:18	18:23
25 : 23	vagac±y		1 20-4	
25:23 26:2	19:12	Walker	28:4 29:16	24:7

warming

22:16

33:5

6:13

weekend

Public Meeting	Septe	ember 15, 2009	NRC	File # 10033-13	Page	39
winner	7:9	11:17				

	Public Meeting Septe	ember 15, 2009	INIC	File # 10033-13	Page 69
17:24	winner 7:9	11:17			
30:21	wondering				
whatever	13:15				
30:18	work 8:4				
whether	10:7				
27:16	19:17				
41:18	20:21				
White 33:1	20:25				
33:2	30:24				
33:2	42:6				
Whitman	worked				
33:20	20:14				
whole 9:22	37:11				
25:13	working				
40:16	8:4				
wild 25:25	17:12				
27:7	37:5				
28:19	38:15				
33:4	world				
wildlife	39:21				
6:23	wrestle				
12:13	19:25				
40:24	written				
41:6	5:3				
41:10	5:9 42:4				
wind	www.				
7:21	nwcounci				
7:23 8:2	1.org				
8:6 8:20 8:20	5:17				
9:15					
10:3	<u> </u>				
12:3	yet				
16:12	27:19 37:11				
35:13					
40:9	you'll				
40:13	19:13				
wing 38:5	you've				